

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,464	12/28/2004	Takashi Matsuda	28951.2183	2872
27890 STEPTOE & JO	7590 03/06/200° OHNSON LLP	1	EXAMINER	
	CTICUT AVENUE, N.V	V.	VIDWAN, JASJIT S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2182	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/516,464	MATSUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jasjit S. Vidwan	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 De	ecember 2006.					
<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	_					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		-(a) or (i).				
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
*						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/516,464 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 16-30 are pending

Claims 1-15 have been cancelled as per documents submitted 5/10/06

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/22/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 16 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eisele et al U.S. Patent: 6,89,459 [herein after Eisele].
- 3. As per claim 16, Eisele teaches a card adapter [Fig. 11a, element 1171] for coupling a compact memory card [Fig. 11b, element 118] to a card receiver compliant with a CompactFlash Association Standard [Col. 7, Lines 45-52], the card adapter comprising:
 - a. An adapter body insertable along a first direction into a card receiver [Fig. 3, Element 302], said body having an inlet for receiving along a second direction a compact memory card [Fig. 11a, Element 123], said first and second directions being orthogonal [Fig. 11a, element 113 and 119], said adapter body and said card receiver are coplanar [Fig. 11a].

Art Unit: 2182

Page 3

A circuit board within said body for electrically **connecting** [see Fig. 1, elements 105, also see Col. 6, Lines 12-17, "electrical contacts"] said card receiver and said compact memory card, said circuit board comprising:

- (i) A first connector for electrically [Col. 7, Lines 45-52] connecting said card adapter to said card receiver [Fig. 11a, Element 113]
- (ii) A second connector connecting said card adapter to said compact memory card [Fig. 11a, Element 119]; and
- (iii) A circuit coupling said first connector and the second connector for converting signals between the first and second connectors [Fig. 11a, Elements 115-117].
- 4. As per claim 25, Eisele teaches a card adapter wherein the circuit board connects the first connector and the second connector electrically, and mounts a circuit to convert a pin arrangement [Fig. 7a, Element 701 and 510-513].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eisele et al, Patent No: 6,089,459 [herein after Eisele] and further in view of Mizutani et al. U.S. Patent No: 5,777,275 [herein after Mizutani].
- 9. As per claim 17, Eisele teach the limitations of claim 16, in addition to circuit board wherein the circuit board mounts the first connector [Fig. 7a, Element 510], the second connector [Fig. 7a, Element 701], and the signal processing circuit [Fig. 7a, elements 511-513], in the same surface [Fig. 7a].

Art Unit: 2182

Eisele fails to teach a system wherein the circuit board has a foldable surface. However, Mizutani teaches a system wherein there exists a foldable surface [see Mizutani, Fig. 1, Element 3b], in order to effectively increase the areas of the flat portions of the circuit board [Col. 2, Lines 9-11].

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous to include a circuit board that includes the functionality of being able to fold within itself in order to reduce the space restrictions of more compact adapter. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Eisele's teachings with that of Mizutani in order to take advantage of providing a smaller and more compact memory card adapter.

- 10. **As per claim 18**, Eisele as modified by Mizutani above teaches a circuit board comprising a main board [see Mizutani, Fig. 4, Element 1a] and sub-board [see Mizutani, Fig. 4, Element 1b] with a flexible bend [see Mizutani, Fig. 3, Element 3] to connect main board and the sub-board, and is folded at the flexible bend to insert into the card holder [see Mizutani, Fig. 3, Element 3], and the main board and the sub-board face each other in the card case [see Mizutani, Fig. 3, elements 1a-1b].
- 11. **As per claim 19,** Eisele as modified by Mizutani above teaches:

A Sub-board that mounts the first connector and the second connector in a same surface [see Mizutani, Col. 4, Lines 23-28].

A Main board mounts the signal processing circuit in the same surface [see Mizutani, Fig. 5 elements 2a].

The first connector and the second connector are connected via the signal processing circuit [see Eisele, Fig. 7a, elements 511-513].

- As per claim 20, Teachings of Eisele as modified by the teachings of Mizutani as applied above, teach a card adapter wherein at least one of the main board and the sub-board in a folded structure has an opening equal to or wider than the holder in a portion corresponding to the holder [see Mizutani, Fig. 3, There exists a considerable distance between boards 1a and 1b].
- 13. **As per claim 21**, Teachings of Eisele as modified by the teachings of Mizutani as applied above, teach a card adapter wherein the main body is L-shaped and is positioned on the second connector housing when the main board is folded [see Mizutani, Fig. 5, element 1b].

Art Unit: 2182

- 14. **As per claim 22**, Teachings of Eisele as modified by the teachings of Mizutani as applied above, teach a card adapter wherein the holder is formed between one surface of the card case and the subboard [see Mizutani, Fig. 4. element 3].
- 15. **As per claim 23,** Teachings of Eisele as modified by the teachings of Mizutani as applied above, teach a card adapter wherein the holder is formed by one surface of the card case, the sub-board and the housing of the second connector [see Mizutani, Fig. 4, element 3].
- As per claim 24, Teachings of Eisele as modified by Mizutani teach a system wherein the main board and sub-board when folded will still maintain a distance from the second connector. Using Mizutani's teaching of folding the signal processor circuit (main board) with first connector (sub-board) [see Mizutani, Fig. 3].
- 17. **As per claims 26 and 28**, Eisele teaches all the limitations of claims 26 and 28 in claim 16, except for a holder for the compact memory card inserted from the inlet, wherein the circuit board mounts a signal processing circuit, the first connector and the second connector on one surface, and has a structure enable to fold until both of the circuit boards face each other, and wherein the circuit board in a folded structure where the both circuit boards face each other is bonded to the body with insulating adhesives to keep a distance between both of the folded circuit boards in a prescribed dimension.

However, Mizutani teaches a system wherein there exists a foldable surface [see Mizutani, Fig. 1, Element 3b], in order to effectively increase the areas of the flat portions of the circuit board [see Mizutani Col. 2, Lines 5-11]. Also, Mizutani goes further to teach a card case where the circuit board support is provided on a housing of the second connector to keep a distance between both of the folded circuit boards in a prescribed dimension [see Mizutani Fig. 3, There exists a considerable distance between boards 1a and 1b]. Also, Mizutani teaches a card adapter where the main board is bonded inside of the top surface via an insulating adhesive layer and the sub-board inside of the bottom surface via the adhesive layer [See Mizutani, Col. 3, Lines 19-29].

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous to include a circuit board that includes the functionality of being able to fold

Application/Control Number: 10/516,464 Page 6

Art Unit: 2182

within itself in order to reduce the space restrictions of more compact adapter. One of ordinary skill in the art would have also recognized the need to add insulating adhesive layer on both the main and subboards in order to prevent electrical disrupt when the boards are in extremely close proximity of one another in the folded stage. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Eisele's teachings with that of Mizutani in order to take advantage of providing a smaller and more compact memory card adapter and prevent shortage of the electrical system.

- 18. **As per claims 27 and 30**, Eisele as modified by Mizutani above teach a card adapter wherein the circuit board connects the first connector and the second connector electrically, and mounts a circuit to convert a pin arrangement [see Eisele, Fig. 7a, Element 701 and 510-513].
- 19. **As per claim 29,** Eisele as modified by Mizutani above teach a card adapter where the main board is bonded inside of the top surface via an insulating adhesive layer and the sub-board inside of the bottom surface via the adhesive layer [See Mizutani, Col. 3, Lines 19-29].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/06/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that (a) Prior art (Eisele's) main card is a diskette and not an adapter for a smaller card (b) Eisele's diskette device communicates with the disk drive magnetically only, thus does not disclose a circuit board within the adapter body for electrically connecting a first connector the card receiver and the compact memory card and (c) There is no desirability of combining any portions of Eisele with Mizutani.

20. With respect to Applicant's argument (a), Examiner disagrees. Applicant argues that Eisele main card is not an adapter for a smaller card, but only a diskette. It should be noted that "Adapter" is defined as "a board that enables a personal computer to use a peripheral device such as a CD-ROM drive, modem or joystick for which it does not already have the necessary connections, ports or circuit boards for." As per the definition of "adapter", Eisele teaches a smart diskette device adaptable to receive electronic medium (smart card) and allow a card receiver (for example personal computer) to communicate with the smartcard for which it otherwise would not have a port for. Therefore, in light of the

Art Unit: 2182

commonly accepted definition of "adapter," it is the position of the Examiner that Eisele's disclosure still

reads on the claimed limitations.

21. With respect to Applicant's argument (b), Examiner disagrees. Though traditionally a diskette

will communicate with the card receiver magnetically only, Eisele's teaches a system wherein the data

can be transferred from the diskette to the card receiver electrically as well [see Col. 7, Lines 45-52,

"electrical contacts"]

22. With respect to Applicant's argument (c), **Examiner disagrees**. Eisele teaches electrical

components within the diskette (elements 511-514) that allow the memory card to communicate with a

host by way of Eisele's adapter. Mizutani teaches a system wherein circuit boards containing electrical

components can be folded in order to provide more compact systems. Examiner relies on Mizutani's

teachings for the purpose of teachings the above stated foldable system rather than "electrically"

connecting the card receiver with the host, which was taught by Eisele as was cited above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Jasjit S. Vidwan whose telephone number is (57.1) 272-7936. The examiner can normally

be reached on 8am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KIM

HUYNH can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

JSV 2/22/07

1000.

KIM HUYNH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

3/2/07

Page 8